arXiv:math-ph/0205037v3 10 Mar 2003

Preprint-KUL-TF-2002/05

**LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

# Proof of Bose-Einstein Condensation for Interacting Gases with a One-Particle Spectral Gap

**J. Lauwers, A. Verbeure, V. A. Zagrebnov***

Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,
Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

E-mail: `joris.lauwers@fys.kuleuven.ac.be`,
`andre.verbeure@fys.kuleuven.ac.be`, `zagrebnov@cpt.univ-mrs.fr`

**Abstract.** Using a specially tuned mean-field Bose gas as a reference system, we establish a positive lower bound on the condensate density for continuous Bose systems with superstable two-body interactions and a finite gap in the one-particle excitations spectrum, *i.e.* we prove for the first time standard homogeneous Bose-Einstein condensation for such interacting systems.

PACS numbers: 05.30.Jp, 03.75.Fi, 67.40.-w
Bose-Einstein Condensation, Superstable Potentials, One-Particle Excitations

## 1. Introduction

The long-standing problem of proving the existence of a Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in non-ideal real Bose gases with a standard two-body interaction has recently been warmed up by the great success of observing this phenomenon in trapped gases. Notice that much earlier BEC has been observed in liquid $^4$He, which however remained always under discussion.

In the present note we announce our result together with a sketch of the proof about the existence of the standard or zero-mode BEC in Bose gases with realistic superstable two-body interactions and with gap in the one-particle excitation energy spectrum. To the best of our knowledge, this is a first proof of its sort for homogeneous systems. We are not using any scaling limits, (*e.g.* type van der Waals limits [1–3]) or truncation of particle interactions [4, 5]. We prove that BEC occurs by constructing a positive lower bound for the condensate density which is valid for low enough temperature and appropriate large density of particles.

We consider a gas of interacting Bosons in cubic boxes $\Lambda = L \times L \times L \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with periodic boundary conditions. We look here in detail at the 3 dimensional case, and comment later on the case of other dimensions. Denote by $V = L^3$ the volume of the box $\Lambda$. The grand-canonical Hamiltonian of this system reads

$$H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda,g} = T^{\Delta}_{\Lambda} - \mu N_{\Lambda} + gU_{\Lambda}, \qquad g > 0, \tag{1}$$

---

[*] on leave of absence from Université de la Méditerranée and Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS-Luminy-Case 907, 13288 Marseille, Cedex 09, France

where $T_\Lambda^\Delta$ is the kinetic energy with gap $\Delta > 0$ in its spectrum,

$$T_\Lambda^\Delta = \sum_{k\in\Lambda^*} \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} a_k^\dagger a_k - \Delta a_0^\dagger a_0. \tag{2}$$

The sum $k$ runs over the set $\Lambda^*$, dual to $\Lambda$, *i.e.*

$$\Lambda^* = \left\{k \in \mathbb{R}^3; k_\alpha = 2\pi n_\alpha/L; n_\alpha = 0, \pm 1, \ldots; \alpha = 1, 2, 3\right\}.$$

The operators $a_k^\dagger$ and $a_k$ are the Bose creation and annihilation operators for mode $k$. As usual, the mode-occupation number operators are denoted by $N_k = a_k^\dagger a_k$, $N_\Lambda = \sum_{k\in\Lambda^*} N_k$ is the total number operator in $\Lambda$.

We assume *a priori* the presence of a gap $\Delta$ in the one-particle excitations spectrum, isolating the lowest (zero-mode) energy level. This can be realised by taking appropriate boundary conditions, attractive boundary conditions [6, 7], or such a gap can also be realised by specific interparticle interactions effectively incorporated in general two-body interactions [5].

Of course $\mu$ is the chemical potential and the interaction between the particles is modelled by the two-body interaction term

$$U_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\Lambda^2} \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}y\; a^\dagger(x)a^\dagger(y)v(x-y)a(y)a(x), \tag{3}$$

where $a^\dagger(x), a^\dagger(y)$ and $a(y), a(x)$ are the creation and annihilation operators for the Bose particles at $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$. The interaction potential $v$ is assumed to be spherically symmetric, superstable [8], *i.e.* it satisfies the inequality

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} v(x_i - x_j) \ge \frac{A}{2V} n^2 - Bn \tag{4}$$

for some constants $A > 0$, $B \ge 0$, and all $n \ge 2$, $x_i \in \Lambda$. Consequently, the interaction term (3) satisfies

$$U_\Lambda \ge \frac{A}{2V} N_\Lambda^2 - BN_\Lambda. \tag{5}$$

This superstability property is, together with the spectral gap (2), the physical foundation of our proof. Intuitively, one might understand that condensation in the groundstate (*i.e.* $k = 0$), which is energetically isolated by a gap $\Delta$ can survive the switching-on of a gentle interaction, and that fluctuations must be of a macroscopical size to overcome this gap and lift particles out of the isolated groundstate. More technical ingredients of the proof are the convexity properties of thermodynamical potentials, such as the pressure, and the use of an optimal choice for the constants $A, B$ in the superstability criterion (4). Indeed, it was proved [8] that continuous $L^1$-functions of positive type $v : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$ are superstable potentials if and only if

$$\hat{v}(0) \ge \hat{v}(q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{d}x\; v(x)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}qx} \ge 0, \qquad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{6}$$

and $\hat{v}(0) > 0$. Moreover, Lewis, Pulè, and de Smedt [9] proved the existence of the optimal constants $A = \hat{v}(0)(1-\epsilon)$ and $B = v(0)/2$ in (4) for this type of potentials. Here, $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small positive constant. It is related to the size of the system, and can be put to zero after the thermodynamic limit. This optimal choice is of determining importance in our proof of the zero-mode Bose-Einstein condensation.

## 2. Sketch of Proof

The main idea of the proof is to estimate the Bose condensate of the full model (1) by the condensate of a particularly chosen reference system for which one knows the occurrence of condensation. The clever choice of this reference system is the subtle point of our proof. The reference system is a so-called mean-field Bose gas, an exactly solvable model of Bosons [10–16] (for a review see [5]) defined by the grand-canonical Hamiltonian as

$$H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda,g,\lambda} = T^{\Delta}_{\Lambda} - \mu N_{\Lambda} + g\frac{\lambda}{2V}N^2_{\Lambda}. \qquad (7)$$

The kinetic energy operator $T^{\Delta}_{\Lambda}$ (2) is as for the general interacting system (1), but the interaction term (3) is replaced by a mean-field interaction term.

The reference system (7), a mean-field Bose gas, emerges as the *van der Waals* limit of the fully interacting system [1–3]. In that case, the constant $\lambda$ equals $\hat{v}(0)$ (6), which means that the van der Waals limit reduces the full interaction to the $\hat{v}(0)$ contribution. In our proof we tune the constant $\lambda$ in order to get the best possible lower bound for the condensate density of the full interaction model.

Remark that our reference system (7) does show Bose condensation for large enough densities (*i.e.* for $\mu$ large) at any given temperature. Moreover, systems of the type of our reference system have better properties than the ideal Bose gas which is in many ways a *pathological* model, *e.g.* in the sense that there is no equivalence of ensembles [17, 18] and in the sense that the chemical potential is limited by a zero upperbound in order to safeguard thermodynamical stability. These are the reasons for our strategy of using the free of those pathologies reference system (7).

*Thermodynamic properties of the reference system (7).* It is well known that our reference system (7) is a soluble model. In the case of vanishing gap $\Delta = 0$, the complete solution can be found at several places in the literature [5, 10–16]. In particular there is condensation for all dimensions $D \geq 3$ at any temperature for densities large enough. It is a student exercise to work out now the case with gap $\Delta > 0$. There is one main difference with the gapless case, namely the presence of the gap provokes a shift in the chemical potential and its treshholds, and one gets condensation in all dimensions $D \geq 1$. One derives straightforwardly that for the reference model (7) one gets condensation for all values of the chemical potential satisfying,

$$\mu > g\lambda\rho^P(\beta, -\Delta) - \Delta.$$

where $\rho^P(\beta, -\Delta)$ is the total particle density of the perfect Bose Gas (PBG) at inverse temperature $\beta$ and chemical potential equal to $-\Delta$. Moreover, the condensate density

$$\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g,\lambda}(\beta, \mu) = \lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{1}{V}\langle N_0\rangle_{H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda,g,\lambda}}(\beta, \mu),$$

*i.e.* the particle density at the zero mode in the thermodynamic limit ($V \to \infty$) of the grand-canonical Gibbs states $\langle - \rangle_{H_\Lambda}(\beta, \mu)$, in volumes $\Lambda$ for a certain choice of inverse temperature and chemical potential $(\beta, \mu)$ and Hamiltonian $H_\Lambda$, is explicitly given by

$$\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g,\lambda} = \frac{\mu + \Delta}{g\lambda} - \rho^P(\beta, -\Delta). \qquad (8)$$

One also computes the total particle density for given $(\beta, \mu)$ to be

$$\rho^{\Delta}_{g,\lambda} = \lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{1}{V}\langle N_\Lambda\rangle_{H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda,g,\lambda}} = \frac{\mu + \Delta}{g\lambda}. \qquad (9)$$

To prove Bose condensation in the full model (1), we subtract from (3) the long-range part of the interaction proportional to $N_\Lambda^2/2V$, tune it with a factor, taking into account the optimal stability constants, and add it to the kinetic-energy term. The latter serves as our reference system (7), from which we establish a lower bound on the zero-mode condensate density $\rho_{0,g}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu) = \lim_{V\to\infty}\langle N_0/V\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}}$ in the fully interacting system (1). In the lemma below, a lower bound on $\rho_{0,g}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu)$ is given.

**Lemma 1** *The zero-mode condensate density $\rho_{0,g}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu)$ in the thermodynamic limit of grand-canonical Gibbs states of interacting systems (1) with superstable two-body potentials $v$ (6), has the following lower bound:*

$$\rho_{0,g}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu) \geq \frac{\mu}{g\hat{v}(0)} + \frac{g\hat{v}(0)}{2\Delta}\left(\rho^{P}(\beta,-\Delta)\right)^2 - \frac{\mu+\Delta}{\Delta}\rho^{P}(\beta,-\Delta) - \frac{gv(0)}{2\Delta}\rho_g^{(\Delta=0)}(\beta,\mu) - \rho_c^{P}(\beta). \tag{10}$$

*Here $\rho_g^{(\Delta=0)}(\beta,\mu)$ is the total density of the interacting gas without gap (1). $\rho^{P}(\beta,-\Delta)$ refers to the total density of the PBG at inverse temperature $\beta$ and chemical potential $\mu = -\Delta$, $\rho_c^{P}(\beta)$ is the critical density of the PBG. The bound is valid for values $\mu > g\hat{v}(0)\rho_c^{P}(\beta)$, and dimensions $D \geq 3$.*

*Idea of the proof.* Using the Bogoliubov convexity inequality [5] one gets:

$$\frac{g}{V}\langle W_\Lambda^\lambda\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}} \leq p_\Lambda[H_{\Lambda,g,\lambda}^{\Delta}] - p_\Lambda[H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}] \leq \frac{g}{V}\langle W_\Lambda^\lambda\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g,\lambda}^{\Delta}}. \tag{11}$$

This gives upper and lower bounds on the difference of the grand-canonical pressure $p_\Lambda[H_\Lambda]$ of the mean-field reference Bose gas (7) and the full model (1). The operator $W_\Lambda^\lambda$ is the difference between the interactions of the fully interacting and the mean-field Bose gases, *i.e.* $W_\Lambda^\lambda = U_\Lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2V}N_\Lambda^2$. The expectation values in (11) can be estimated using, for the lower bound, the superstability properties of the interaction, and, for the upper bound, the properties of the equilibrium states of the mean-field reference Bose gas. The lower bound in (11) follows from (5), and from the tuning of the interaction parameter $\lambda$ for the mean-field reference Bose gas (7) to the constant $A$ in (5),

$$\frac{g}{V}\langle W_\Lambda^A\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}} \geq -\frac{gB}{V}\langle N_\Lambda\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}}. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, using the mode by mode gauge invariance of the Gibbs states of the mean-field Bose gas we arrive at the following upper bound for the pressure difference (11),

$$\frac{g}{V}\langle W_\Lambda^A\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g,A}^{\Delta}} \leq \frac{g}{V^2}\langle CN_\Lambda^2 - \frac{\hat{v}(0)}{2}N_0^2\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g,A}^{\Delta}}, \tag{13}$$

where $C = \hat{v}(0) - A/2$. It follows from the properties of the mean-field Bose gas that the expectation values in the rhs of (13) in the limit $(V \to \infty)$ are given by $gC(\rho_{g,A}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu))^2 - g\hat{v}(0)(\rho_{0,g,A}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu))^2/2$.

The pressure $p_\Lambda[H_\Lambda^{\Delta}]$ is an increasing convex function of $\Delta \geq 0$. Since the condensate density $\rho_{0,g}^{\Delta}(\beta,\mu)$ is the derivative of the pressure with respect to $\Delta$, by convexity we find for it a lower bound, given by

$$\frac{1}{V}\langle N_0\rangle_{H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}} \geq \frac{p_\Lambda[H_{\Lambda,g}^{\Delta}] - p_\Lambda[H_{\Lambda,g}^{(\Delta=0)}]}{\Delta}. \tag{14}$$

Analogously, by virtue of the same convexity property, the difference of the pressures between the mean-field Bose gas with gap and without gap, is bounded from below by the condensate density for the mean-field gas without gap, *i.e.*

$$\frac{p_\Lambda[H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda,g,A}] - p_\Lambda[H^{(\Delta=0)}_{\Lambda,g,A}]}{\Delta} \geq \frac{1}{V}\langle N_0\rangle_{H^{(\Delta=0)}_{\Lambda,g,A}}. \tag{15}$$

Adding up these two inequalities and using the Bogoliubov convexity inequality (11) once at $\Delta > 0$ and once at $\Delta = 0$, together with the bounds (12) and (13), one gets the following lower bound for the condensate density $\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g}(\beta,\mu)$ in the thermodynamic limit ($V \to \infty$):

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g}(\beta,\mu) \geq \rho^{(\Delta=0)}_{0,g,A}(\beta,\mu) + g\frac{\hat{v}(0)}{2\Delta}(\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g,A}(\beta,\mu))^2 \\ - \frac{g}{\Delta}\left(B\rho^{(\Delta=0)}_{g}(\beta,\mu) + C(\rho^{\Delta}_{g,A}(\beta,\mu))^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

The lower bound (10) now follows from (16) by use of the explicit expressions for total density and the condensate density of the mean-field Bose gas with gap, (9) and (8), and by the well-known expression for the condensate density in the gapless mean-field model:

$$\rho^{(\Delta=0)}_{0,g,\lambda} = \lim_{V\to\infty}\frac{1}{V}\langle N_0\rangle_{H^{(\Delta=0)}_{\Lambda,g,\lambda}} = \frac{\mu}{g\lambda} - \rho^P_c(\beta),$$

if $\mu > g\lambda\rho^P_c(\beta)$, where $\rho^P_c(\beta)$ is the critical density for the PBG at inverse temperature $\beta$. As a last step, we need the optimal superstability constants for continuous $L^1$ potentials of positive type [9], *i.e.* we take $A = \hat{v}(0)$, and $B = v(0)/2$ after the thermodynamic limit, and get the expression for the lower bound (10) in the lemma. *QED*

Now we get our main result:

**Theorem 2** *Consider a three dimensional system of interacting Bose particles (1), with a superstable two-body potential. There exists a minimal gap* $\Delta_{min}$ *such that for any finite* $\Delta \geq \Delta_{min}$*, one has* $\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g}(\beta,\mu) > 0$ *or zero-mode condensation.*

*Proof.* Take any $\eta > 0$, fix a temperature and a chemical potential $(\beta,\mu)$ such that $\mu > g\hat{v}(0)(\rho^P_c(\beta) + 3\eta)$, then there exists a minimal gap $\Delta_{min}$ such that for any finite $\Delta \geq \Delta_{min}$,

$$\left|\frac{g\hat{v}(0)}{2\Delta}\left(\rho^P(\beta,-\Delta)\right)^2 - \frac{gv(0)}{2\Delta}\rho^{(\Delta=0)}_g(\beta,\mu) - 2\frac{\mu+\Delta}{\Delta}\rho^P(\beta,-\Delta)\right| < \eta$$

For these values of the gap $\Delta$ we have $\rho^{\Delta}_{0,g}(\beta,\mu) > \eta > 0$, by virtue of the lower bound (10) in Lemma 1, and hence we proved condensation. *QED*

## 3. Discussion

First of all let us remark that our proofs hold without any change in all dimensions $D \geq 3$. For $D = 1$ or 2 a similar lower bound on the condensate density can be derived, on the basis of modified convexity arguments (14)–(15), *i.e.* one has to consider pressure differences of the form $p_\Lambda[H^{\Delta}_{\Lambda}] - p_\Lambda[H^{\Delta_0}_{\Lambda}]$, with $0 < \Delta_0 < \Delta$, instead of with $\Delta_0 = 0$ in (14)–(15). This yields the substitution of $\rho^{\Delta_0}_{0,g,A}(\beta,\mu)$ and $\rho^{\Delta_0}_{g}(\beta,\mu)$ for $\rho^{(\Delta=0)}_{0,g,A}(\beta,\mu)$ and $\rho^{(\Delta=0)}_{g}(\beta,\mu)$ in (16). Hence, also in one and two dimensional interacting Bose gases with gap (1), Bose condensation is proved, in contrast to the

Bogoliubov–Hohenberg theorem [19] which yields the absence of BEC for $1D$ or $2D$ translation invariant continuous Bose systems without gap.

Finally we want to stress that our results are for homogeneous systems. On the other hand we have to mention here the interesting recent result about the condensation for trapped Bose gases [20], *i.e.* for inhomogeneous systems where a rigorous proof is given of Bose condensation in the so-called Gross-Pitaevskii limit. As such trapped systems always have a gap in the one-particle spectrum, we consider our result as a bridge between the homogeneous gapless systems on one hand and the trapped systems on the other hand. Moreover, we hope that our work might be inspiring to establish a proof of BEC for homogeneous systems when the gap tends to zero.

## Acknowledgments

J. L. gratefully acknowledges financial support from K.U.Leuven grant FLOF-10408, and V. A. Z. acknowledges ITF K.U.Leuven for hospitality.

[1] J. Lebowitz and O. Penrose. Rigorous treatment of the Van der Waals limit-Maxwell theory of the liquid-vapor transition. *J. Math. Phys.*, **7**:98 – 113, 1966.

[2] E. H. Lieb. Quantum-mechanical extension of the Lebowitz-Penrose theorem on the Van der Waals theory. *J. Math. Phys.*, **7**:1016 – 1024, 1966.

[3] E. Buffet, Ph. de Smedt, and J. V. Pulé. The condensate equation of some Bose systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **16**:4309 – 4324, 1983.

[4] T. C. Dorlas, J. T. Lewis, and J. V. Pulé. The full diagonal model of a Bose gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **156**:37 – 65, 1993.

[5] V. A. Zagrebnov and J.-B. Bru. The Bogoliubov model of weakly imperfect Bose gas. *Phys. Rep.*, **350**(5–6):291 – 434, 2001.

[6] Derek W. Robinson. Bose-Einstein condensation with attractive boundary conditions. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **50**:53 – 59, 1976.

[7] L. J. Landau and I. F. Wilde. On the Bose-Einstein condensation of an ideal gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **70**:43 – 51, 1979.

[8] D. Ruelle. *Statistical Mechanics, Rigorous Results.* W. A. Benjamin, Reading, 1969.

[9] J. T. Lewis, J. V. Pulè, and P. de Smedt. The superstability of pair-potentials of positive type. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **35**:381 – 385, 1984.

[10] K. Huang. *Statistical Mechanics.* Wiley, London, 1967.

[11] E. B. Davies. The thermodynamic limit for an imperfect Boson gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **28**:69 – 86, 1972.

[12] M. Fannes and A. Verbeure. The condensed phase of the imperfect Bose gas. *J. Math. Phys.*, **21**:1809 – 1818, 1980.

[13] E. Buffet and J. V. Pulè. Fluctuation properties of the imperfect Bose gas. *J. Math. Phys.*, **24**:1608 – 1616, 1983.

[14] M. Van den Berg, J. T. Lewis, and P. de Smedt. Condensation in the imperfect boson gas. *J. Stat. Phys.*, **37**:697 – 707, 1984.

[15] Vl. V. Papoyan and V. A. Zagrebnov. The ensemble equivalence problem for Bose systems (non-ideal Bose gas). *Theor. Math. Phys.*, **69**:1240 – 1253, 1986.

[16] J. T. Lewis, J. V. Pulé, and V. A. Zagrebnov. The large deviation principle for the Kac distribution. *Helv. Phys. Acta*, **61**:1063 – 1078, 1988.

[17] John T. Cannon. Infinite volume limits of the canonical free Bose gas states of the Weyl algebra. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **29**:89 – 104, 1973.

[18] J. T. Lewis and J. V. Pulè. The equilibrium states of the free boson gas. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **36**:1 – 18, 1974.

[19] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 2.* Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[20] Elliott H. Lieb and Robert Seiringer. Proof of Bose-Einstein condensation for dilute trapped gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **88**:170409, 2002.